IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 20093 of 2000

Between:

1 Dasari Sivaiah, S/o.Pochaiah,
R/o. H.No.10-122, Ghatkesar Village & Mandal, R.R. District.
2 B.Yettaiah, S/o.Sivaiah,
R/o. H.No.7-49, Block No.7, Ghatkesar Village & Mandal,
R.R. District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Chairman & Managing Director, A.P. Transmission

Corporation, Vidyuth Soudha, Hyderabad.
2 The Chief Engineer, Operation, Transco of A.P.Ltd.,

R.R. District Zone, Mint Compound, Hyderabad.
3 The Supdt. Engineer, Operation Circle, A.P. Transco,

R.R. District, Mint Compound, Hyderabad.
4 The Asst. Engineer, Electrical Operation,
A.P. Transco, Ghatkesar, Hyderabad East.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents in not issuing the orders for absorption of the services of the petitioners though the petitioners herein have attended the interview conducted by the 3rd respondent authorities dt.8/2/1999, and to direct the respondents herein to consider the case of the petitioners herein in the light of the judgment of this Court in W.P.No.26855/1998 dt.1/7/1999 and also in W.A.No.1183/1999 dt.20/8/1999.

Counsel for Petitioners: MR. P.RATHAIAH

Counsel for Respondents: MR. S.RAVINDRANATH

The Court made the following:

-

ORDER:

-

The petitioners, two in number, filed this writ petition seeking directions to the respondents for their absorption in the service of the A.P. Transmission Corporation. The writ petition is mainly filed on the ground that the petitioners worked as casual labourers prior to 1982 and in view of the instructions issued in Memo No.1138/85-1, dated 26-08-1985, they are entitled for their absorption in the service of the A.P. Transco.

In this writ petition, mainly such directions are sought with reference to the Circular dated 26-08-1985 of the first respondent.

But, however, it is to be seen, for absorption of casual labourers and contract labourers etc., instructions were issued in subsequent memos. In any event, it is for the respondent-A.P. Transco to consider the case of the petitioners as per the norms notified. But, the petitioners, on the ground that they worked as casual labourers, cannot seek positive directions for their absorption in the respondent-A.P. Transco.

In that view of the matter, I do not find any merit in the writ petition. The writ petition is devoid of merits and the same is accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

R.SUBHASH REDDY, J

21st June, 2005.

Ak

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1 The Chairman & Managing Director, A.P. Transmission

Corporation, Vidyuth Soudha, Hyderabad.
2 The Chief Engineer, Operation, Transco of A.P. Ltd.,

R.R. District Zone, Mint Compound, Hyderabad.
3 The Supdt. Engineer, Operation Circle, A.P. Transco,

R.R. District, Mint Compound, Hyderabad.
4 The Asst. Engineer, Electrical Operation,
A.P. Transco, Ghatkesar, Hyderabad East.

5 Two CD copies.